IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Writ Petition No.39l0 of l996

Sandeep Bhimsing Thakur,
Staff Quarters, Government Rest
House no. 2 Dhule ...Petitioner

vs

l. State of Maharashtra
through its Secretary
Ministry of Tribal Development
Mantralaya, Mumbai 32

2. The Director
Committee for Scrutiny and
Verification of Tribe Claims
Mah State Adivasi Bhavan Nasik

3 Executive Engineer
Sindhkheda Dist Dhule... respondents

Mr R.K.Mendadkar for petitioner

Mr.C.R.Sonwane AGP for State

**CORAM: A.P.SHAH & S.C DHARMADHIKARI JJ.**

**Dated 26.l0.2004**

P.C:

1. Heard Advocates.

2. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that in the light of the judgment of this Court in Pandurang Rangnath Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra and others; 1998(2) Mh.L.J.806, Chandsrakant Bajirao Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra and others; 2003(2) Mah.L.J.471 and

unreported judgment of this court in Writ Petition No.2745 of 1988 (Raviprakash Babulalsing Parmar Vs. State of Maharashtra and others decided on 28th July, 2003) the impugned order of the Caste Scrutiny Committee dated l5th February l996 cannot be sustained. The Scrutiny Committee observed in its order as under:

> It is not a point of dispute that according to Constitution Scheduled Caste/ Scheudled Tribe Order, l950 ( Modification) issued by the President of India under Ministry of Home Affairs, Notification No SRC 2477/A dated 29.l0.l956, the community Thakar/ Thakur including Ka-Thakar, Ka-Thakur, Ma-Thakar, Ma-Thakur has been notified as Scheduled Tribe from specified geographical roads and hills of Sahyadri Ranges from specified Tahsils of Ahmednagar, Raigad, Nashik , Pune and Thane districts. There are various varieties of Thakar/Thakur caste such as :
>
> l. Thakar/Thakur (including Ka and Ma categories) is included in the list of

Scheduled Tribes at Sr No.44

2. Thakur./Thakar ( Bhat. Thakar, Brahmbhat Thakar or Thakur) /which is one of the caste from Balutedar system in the village society

3. Thakar/Thakur from Ratnagiri district is included in the list of Nomadic Tribes at St No.22 who lead nomadic life from village to village and perform puppet show".

3. The Scrutiny Committee then went on examining various other aspects including affinity test, ethnic linkage and socio-cultural traits of the petitioner to find out whether the petitioner belongs to "Thakur Scheduled Tribe" and ultimately held that the petitioner does not belong to "Thakur Scheduled Tribe" and held his caste claim as invalid.

4. We may quote with approval the following passage from the judgment of the Division Bench of this Court in Chandrakant (supra) wherein Division Bench has an occasion to consider an identical issue which is posed before us.

"4. The Constitution (Scheduled Tribes) Order, 1950 was issued on September, 1950 in exercise of powers conferred upon the President of India by clause (1) of Article 342 of the Constitution of India. In the Schedule appended to the said Order, admittedly Thakar was not notified as a Schedule Tribe within the then State of Bombay. Thereafter by the Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribes Orders (Amendment) Act, 1956, the Presidential Order, 1950 was amended and in some of the districts of the State of Bombay Scheduled Tribes Thakur or Thakar including - Ka Thakur, K Thakar, Ma Thakur, Ma Thakar were included. Thereafter with effect from 18.9.1976, the Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribes Orders (Amendment) Act, 1976 came into force, amongst other amending the Presidential Order i.e. Constitution Scheduled Tribes Orders, 1950, Entry No.44 of the Schedule, Part IX Maharashtra thereof notifies "Thakur", "Thakar", "Ka Thakur", "Ka Thakar", "Ma Thakar" without any area restriction being Scheduled Tribe within the

entire State of Maharashtra.

8. The Constitution Bench of the Supreme Court recently had an occasion to consider inter alia this aspect in State of Maharashtra Vs. Milind and others; 2001(1) Mh.L.J.(SC) 1 -(2001) 1 SCC 4. In paragraphs 15, 28 and 36(1) of the report, it was ruled thus:

15. Thus it is clear that States have no power or the Government of the day in a State is relieved from the pressure or burden of tinkering with the Presidential Orders either to gain popularity or secure votes. Number of persons in order to gain advantage in securing admissions in educational institutions and employment in State Services have been claiming as belonging to either Scheduled Castes or Scheduled Tribes depriving genuine and needy persons belonging to Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes covered by the Presidential Orders, defeating and frustrating to a large extent the very object of protective discrimination given to such people based on

their educational and social backwardness. Courts cannot and should not expand jurisdiction to deal with the question as to whether a particular caste, sub caste; a group or part of tribe or sub tribe is included in any one of the entries mentioned in the Presidential Orders issued under Articles 341 and 342 particularly so when in clause (2) of the said article, it is expressly stated that the said order cannot be amended or varied except by law made by Parliament. The power to include or exclude amend or alter Presidential Order is expressly and conclusively conferred on and vested with Parliament and that too by making a law in that regard. The president had the benefit of consulting the States through Governors of States which had the means and machinery to find out and recommend as to whether a particular cases or tribe was to be included in the Presidential Order. If the said Orders are to be amended, it is Parliament that is in a better position to know having the means and machinery unlike courts as to why a particular caste or tribe is to be included

or excluded by law to be made by Parliament. Allowing the State Governments or courts or other authorities or Tribunals to hold inquiry as to whether a particular caste or tribe should be considered as one included in the Schedule of the Presidential Order, when it is not specifically included, my lead to problems, in order to gain advantage of reservations for the purpose of Article 15(4) or 16(4) several persons have been coming forward claiming to be covered by Presidential Orders issued under Articles 341 and 342. This apart, when no other authority other than Parliament, that too by law alone can amend the Presidential Orders. Neither the State Government nor the courts nor Tribunals nor any authority can assume jurisdiction to hold inquiry and take evidence to declare that a caste or tribe is included in Presidential Orders in one entry on the other although they are not expressly and specifically included. A court cannot alter or amend the said Presidential Orders for the very good reason that it has no power to do so within the meaning content and scope of Articles 341 and 342. It is

not possible to hold that either any inquiry is permissible or any evidence can be let in, in relation to a particular caste or tribe to say whether it is included within Presidential Orders when it is not so expressly included.

28. Being an respectful agreement we reaffirm the ratio of the two Constitution Bench judgments aforementioned and state in clear terms that no inquiry at all is permissible and no evidence can be let in to find out and decide that if any tribe or tribal community or part of or group within any tribe or tribal community is included within the scope and meaning of the entry concerned in the Presidential Order when it is no so expressly or specifically included. Hence, we answer Question 1 in the negative.

36(1) It is not at all permissible to hold any inquiry or let in any evidence to decide or declare that any tribe or tribal community or part of or group within any tribes or tribal community is included in the general name even though it is not

specifically mentioned in the entry concerned in the Constitution (Scheduled Tribes) Order, 1950."

In all these four writ petitions, it is not disputed before us that the Committee has recorded a finding that the petitioners have established that they belong to "Thakur" but the Committee on erroneous assumption proceeded that Thakur Community is being different than "Ka Thakur and Ma Thakur". We are unable to appreciate the approach of the learned members of the Committee in analysing the issue posed before it. In view of the judgments of this Court referred to above that the Committee has no competence or authority to go into questions whether the petitioners belongs to Thakur higher caste and or it has done in the present case. We are in respectful agreement with all three judgments of the Court referred to by the learned Counsel for the petitioners. We also approved the view taken by the learned Judges of the Division Bench in Raviprakash unreported. Though the learned Judges rendered separate but

concurring judgment, we endorse the view taken by our learned brother Kochar J, who was the member of the Division Bench who has decided Raviprakash's case (supra). In view of the above said judgments, to which we respectfully agree and adopting the same reasons, the orders challenged in these petitions are required to be set aside. Accordingly, impugned orders passed by the Scrutiny Committee in all these writ petitions is set aside, Rule made absolute. No order as to costs.

5. In the present case it is not disputed before us that the Committee has recorded a finding that the petitioner belongs to "Thakur" but the Committee on erroneous assumption proceeded to hold that "Thakur Community" is different than "Thakar Scheduled Tribe". In the light of the decision of this Court in the case of Chandrakant Bajirao Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra and others (supra), the Scrutiny Committee was not justified in proceeding with the inquiry on the basis of socio-cultural traits, affinity test, and ethnic linkage to find whether the petitioner belongs to Thakur Scheduled Tribe when admittedly the

documents produced by him show in unequivocal terms that his caste was "Thakur". We, therefore, quash and set aside the order of the Scrutiny Committee. Respondents are directed to issue the caste validity certificate to the petitioner as belonging to Thakur Scheduled Tribe within a period of two months from today.

6. Rule is made absolute accordingly. No order as to costs.